

# Building civil society

by Austen Ivereigh

In a recent encyclical entitled in Latin *Caritas in Veritate* [Charity in Truth], published on July 7<sup>th</sup> 2009, Pope Benedict XVI examines many issues related not only to development in the midst of this present economic global crisis but also to social action: ‘Charity in truth drives the authentic development of all persons.’ As such, the encyclical offers some suggestions to the secular public sphere. The following comment, by Austen Ivereigh, from London, focuses on how charity and truth are essential in building civil society.

Why are contractual relationships, on which economics and bureaucracy are based, not enough to fulfil our human needs? And what can a strong civil society, based on covenant, achieve for its citizens by emphasising relationships based on trust rather than exchange?

One of the most striking arguments of *Caritas in Veritate* is also the hardest for us to grasp. The Pope rejects what he calls ‘the exclusively binary model of market-plus-State’ as being ‘corrosive of society’, in contrast to ‘economic forms based on solidarity, which find their natural home in civil society without being restricted to it’. These, he says, ‘build up society’. And he goes on: ‘The market of gratuitousness does not exist, and attitudes of gratuitousness cannot be established by law. Yet both the market and politics need individuals who are open to reciprocal gift’ (*Caritas in Veritate* [henceforth CV] 39).

What is this ‘exclusive binary model of market-plus-State’? It is a view of society which sees human beings as involved in two spheres of activity – on the one hand economic, on the other legal/bureaucratic – and reduces them to these. It’s never a distant feeling for people in modern societies, that we are treated as objects, commodities, factors in production, producers or consumers, rather than human beings.

We feel we are something more – and we are. What the ‘exclusive binary’ ignores is another kind of relationship, one based on gift or gratuitousness. The market cannot, in and of itself, create these relationships, because the market is a mechanism of productivity and exchange; what binds people is *contract*. The same is true of relationships which are not primarily economic, but bureaucratic.

There is nothing wrong with contracts: they are necessary protections. But because they are designed to protect people, they are necessarily limited, and focused on self-preservation. And they are, by definition, provisional. Break the contract, or fulfil it, and the relationship is over. A society worth living in cannot depend on contractual relationships.

The ‘natural home’ of the other kind of relationship – one based on gift – is civil society. If the basis of political and economic society is the contract, civil society is based on *covenant*, maintained, writes Jonathan Sacks, “by an internalised sense of identity, kinship, loyalty, obligation, responsibility and reciprocity.”<sup>1</sup> Unlike legal and economic relationships, civil society relationships are *covenantal*, not *contractual*; or, in the Pope’s language, they are based on gratuitousness.

Civil society is the bedrock of democracy, the glue that holds society together. It is neither public (state) nor private (economic) but made up of what are often called ‘voluntary organisations’ – churches, schools, charities, fraternal organisations, residents’ associations, ethnic groups, trade union branches, and so on. The dynamic behind these organisations is not profit; nor are they paid for by the taxpayer. Civil society is bound together by the power of association, the bonds formed by values and common interests.

What are these bonds? In *Caritas in Veritate*, Pope Benedict explores them at greater length than any of his predecessors. The ‘logic of gift’ is both the source of unity in the human community and the element which humanises politics and the economy:

Because it is a gift received by everyone, charity in truth is a force that builds community, it brings all people together without imposing barriers or limits.... [T]he logic of gift does not exclude justice, not does it merely sit alongside it as a second element added from without ... [E]conomic, social and

---

<sup>1</sup> J. Sacks, *Education, values and religion*, University St Andrews, 1996.

political development, if it is to be authentically human, needs to make room for the principle of gratuitousness as an expression of fraternity.’ (CV, 34)

In strong civil society institutions, relationships revolve around something other than buying and selling, or services: what transacts within them are not goods but reciprocity of trust, gift and beliefs. What brings people together is not money, or a contractual obligation. People will speak of a common purpose, a shared commitment, a ‘belonging’ which, they might say, comes from their faith. These are not private relationships, as among family and close friends, but public relationships founded on something other than contract. It is in these relationships that we become *persons*, in the traditional Catholic sense of that word, meaning not an isolated individual but one with others: not just consumers or voters, but people who work together in pursuit of a common vision, bound together, at their best, by ties of gratuitousness.

The problem that Pope Benedict highlights is that we even have difficulty in conceiving of civil society as a third sector, comparable to the state and the market. The state and the market should serve and support civil society. But so powerful have both become that the reverse is true. A major concern of Catholic social teaching is to build the power, the capacity to act, of the ‘mediating institutions’ that make up civil society, so it can better resist the power of the state and market, and hold them to account. That’s not because Catholic social teaching opposes the state and the market: they are necessary, and good in themselves. But without a strong civil society to shape them and hold them to other values, they will run amok, serving themselves. When this happens, human beings become commodified.

This is a consistent element in Catholic social teaching. Looking back at 100 years of papal teaching, Pope John Paul II in 1991 noted how,

According to *Rerum Novarum*<sup>2</sup> and the whole social doctrine of the Church, the social nature of man is not completely fulfilled in the State, but is realized in various intermediary groups, beginning with the family and including economic, social, political and cultural groups which stem from human nature itself and have their own autonomy, always with a view to the common good (*Centesimus Annus*, 13).<sup>3</sup>

What has weakened democracy in modern European history, according to Catholic social teaching, has been the diminishment of civil society, as both the state and the market have grown in power and influence. Pope Leo XIII and Pius XI, in the two first classic social encyclicals, *Rerum Novarum* and *Quadragesimo Anno*,<sup>4</sup> observe this trend with concern, seeing in the weakening of what Catholic social teaching describes as ‘intermediate’ or ‘mediating’ institutions the erosion of a healthy society.

The market has its place, but it must be kept in its place, lest relationships become commodified. The state, too, has its place, but it must know its place, lest relationships become bureaucratized.

The countervailing principle is that of subsidiarity, or the principle of civil society. It is ‘an injustice and at the same time a grave evil and disturbance of right order to assign to a greater and higher association what lesser and subordinate organizations can do’. The more this principle of subsidiarity is followed, ‘the more excellent will be the authority and efficacy of society, and the happier and more prosperous will be the condition of the commonwealth’ (*Quadragesimo Anno* 80).

Catholic social teaching calls for a ‘society of work, enterprise and participation’ which ‘is not directed against the market,’ as *Centesimus Annus* (35) puts it, ‘but demands that the market be appropriately controlled by the forces of society and the state to assure that the basic needs of the whole society are satisfied’. A strong civil sector is the basis of a healthy society and a healthy economy; economic contracts and state bureaucracies cannot hold a society together in peace, nor increase its solidarity. Only civil society can do this, because it rests on the logic of gift rather than the logic of the market and the State. In *Caritas in Veritate*, Pope Benedict warns of what happens when state and market shape civil society, rather than the other way round.

When both the logic of the market and the logic of the State come to an agreement that each will continue to exercise a monopoly over its respective area of influence, in the long term much is lost: solidarity in relations between citizens, participation and adherence, actions of gratuitousness, all of

---

<sup>2</sup> Latin, for ‘Of New realities’, title of an encyclical issued by Pope Leo XIII on May 15, 1891.

<sup>3</sup> Latin, for ‘The hundredth year’ [after *Rerum Novarum*], title of an encyclical written by Pope John Paul II in 1991.

<sup>4</sup> Latin, for ‘In the fortieth year’, title of an encyclical by Pope Pius XI, issued 15 May 1931, 40 years after *Rerum Novarum* (hence the name.).

which stand in contrast with *giving in order to acquire* (the logic of exchange) and *giving through duty* (the logic of public obligation, imposed by State law). (CV 39)

Because civil society is constantly under threat from both state and market, ‘the economic forms of solidarity’ need to be just as constantly rebuilt and strengthened. This is true in times of economic growth as much as in times of recession. Where capital flows, businesses are created and fold; people are employed, fired, employed again elsewhere; areas stagnate, recover and expand. People respond to these trends by uprooting themselves and moving, following the direction of opportunity, or fleeing dead ends. In an age of globalised capital, the forces disaggregating society, whether in times of growth or recession, are very powerful indeed.

Pope Benedict sees in the current global crisis the collapse of solidarity and mutual trust, which are essential to the proper functioning of the economy. ‘Without internal forms of solidarity and mutual trust, the market cannot completely fulfil its proper economic function. And today it is this trust which has ceased to exist, and the loss of trust is a grave loss.’ (CV 35)

In calling for the market also to adopt relationships of trust and gratuity, Pope Benedict opens up a new theme in Catholic social teaching – that businesses themselves can become more like civil society institutions. The term he uses for this is the ‘economy of communion’, which is borrowed from Focolare’s businesses which attempt to operate in a more human-oriented market, depending more greatly on trust. Without trust, as the financial crisis has exposed, the market destroys itself.

One can hope for more businesses like these. But there is something that every parish, school, and charity can do to build the power of civil society: forge relationships of trust, and act together to hold the state and the market to account.

In modern Britain, the decline in the power and influence of civil society is one of the most pressing issues of our time, one that appears at the top of people’s lists of concerns. Fear of violence on the streets is the most obvious sign of this. Trust and relationships are what make a place safe. A Joseph Rowntree Foundation survey in 2008 showed this: time and again people identified a lack of public spiritedness and social responsibility, the way ‘neighbours no longer knew or looked out for one another’ and people were left ‘lonely and fearful’.<sup>5</sup>

This article was originally published by the author in *Thinking Faith*, the online journal of the Jesuit Media Initiatives, London. It is reproduced here in a shorter version and translated into Chinese with gratitude for the permission of the author and the publishers. Copyright © Jesuit Media Initiatives. [www.thinkingfaith.org](http://www.thinkingfaith.org)

**Austen Ivereigh** is an organiser with London Citizens. This is adapted from a chapter in his recently published book: *Faithful Citizens: a guide to Catholic social teaching and community organising* (Darton, Longman & Todd, 2010).

---

<sup>5</sup> “What are today’s social evils?” – Beth Watts and Charlie Lloyd, available at [www.socialevils.org.uk](http://www.socialevils.org.uk).